

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 What is the name of your organisation?

coopérative NORIAP

1.2 What stakeholder group does your organisation belong to?

Supplier of S&PM

1.2.1 Please specify

1.3 Please write down the address (postal, e-mail, telephone, fax and web page if available) of your organisation

COOPERATIVE NORIAP RUE DE L ILE MYSTERIEUSE BP 20022 LOGUEAU CEDEX PHONE / 533° 3 22 50 44 44

2. PROBLEM IDENTIFICATION

2.1 Are the problems defined correctly in the context of S&PM marketing?

Yes

2.2 Have certain problems been overlooked?

Yes

2.2.1 Please state which one(s)

SEEDS BREEDING IS TOMORROW ONE OF THE SOLUTION TO REDUCE THE CHEMICALS WAYS AND TO FIGHT AGAINST DROUGHT THE IMPACT OF SEEDS SELECTION IS VERY IMPORTANT FOR IMPROVEMENT OF QUALITY

2.3 Are certain problems underestimated or overly emphasized?

Underestimated

2.3.1 Please indicate the problems that have not been estimated rightly IN SEEDS IT IS NECESSARY TO THINK SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPPEMENT

2.4 Other suggestions or remarks

3. OBJECTIVES OF THE REVIEW

3.1 Are the objectives defined correctly in the context of S&PM marketing?

Yes

3.2 Have certain objectives been overlooked?

Yes

3.2.1 Please state which one(s)

3 OBJECTIVES FOR A SUSTAINABLE AGRICULTURE : ECONOMIC SOCIAL AND ENVIRONNEMEN PROTECTION

3.3 Are certain objectives inappropriate?

No

3.3.1 Please state which one(s)

3.4 Is it possible to have a regime whereby a variety is considered as being automatically registered in an EU catalogue as soon as a variety protection title is granted by CPVO?

No

3.5 If there is a need to prioritise the objectives, which should be the most important ones? (Please rank 1 to 5, 1 being first priority)

Ensure availability of healthy high quality seed and propagating material

4

Secure the functioning of the internal market for seed and propagating material

5

Empower users by informing them about seed and propagating material

2

Contribute to improve biodiversity, sustainability and favour innovation

1

Promote plant health and support agriculture, horticulture and forestry

3

3.6 Other suggestions and remarks

4. OPTIONS FOR CHANGE

4.1 Are the scenarios defined correctly in the context of S&PM marketing?

No

4.2 Have certain scenarios been overlooked?

Yes

4.2.1 Please state which one(s)

ALL THE OBJECTIVES CAN LIVE WITHOUT SETTING THEM

4.3 Are certain scenarios unrealistic?

Yes

4.3.1 Please state which one(s) and why

SCENARIOS 3 AND 4 ARE TOO MUCH LIBERAL WITHOUT AUTHORITIES WATCHING

4.4 Do you agree with the reasoning leading to the discard of the "no-changes" and the "abolishment" scenarios?

Yes

4.5 Other suggestions and remarks

ALL THE VARIETIES MUST BE INSCRIBED TO THE OFFICIAL CATALOGUE AND TESTED WITH CONTROLS

5. ASSESSMENT OF OPTIONS

5.1 Are the impacts correctly analysed in the context of S&PM marketing?

No

5.2 Have certain impacts been overlooked?

Yes

5.2.1 Please state which one(s)

THE IMPACT OF BREEDING ON PLANT HEALTH AND ENVIRONNEMENT

5.3 Are certain impacts underestimated or overly emphasized?

Underestimated

5.3.1 Please provide evidence or data to support your assessment:

IT'S BECAUSE COMPULSORY REGULATION THAT THERE ARE STILL IN FRANCE LARGE MEDIUM AND SMALL SEED BREEDING COMPANIES AND 500 NEW VARIETIES EACH YEAR

5.4 How do you rate the proportionality of a generalised traceability/labelling and fit-for-purpose requirement (as set out in scenario 4)?

4 = not very proportional

5.5 How do you assess the possible impact of the various scenarios on your organisation or on the stakeholders that your organisation represents?

Scenario 1

Rather negative

Scenario 2

Very beneficial

Scenario 3

Very negative

Scenario 4

Very negative

Scenario 5

Neutral

5.5.1 Please state your reasons for your answers above, where possible providing evidence or data to support your assessment:

WE ARE FAVOURABLE TO AN EUROPEAN CATALOGUE WHO TAKES CRITERIA OF QUALITY, PRODUCTIVENESS AND ENVIRONMENTAL VALUE OF SEEDS

6. ASSESSMENT OF SCENARIOS

6.1 Which scenario or combination of scenarios would best meet the objectives of the review of the legislation?

A combination of scenarios

6.1.1 What are your views with regards to combining elements from the various scenarios into a new scenario?

WE ARE FOR THE SCENARIO 2 WITH THE OBJECTIVES IN THE PART 3.5

6.1.1 Please explain the new scenario in terms of key features

6.2 Do you agree with the comparison of the scenarios in the light of the potential to achieve the objectives?

No

6.2.1 Please explain:

THE IMPACT ON COMPETITIVENESS AND TRADE OF SCENARIO 3 AND 4 IS NEGATIVE

7. OTHER COMMENTS

7.1 Further written comments on the seeds and propagating material review:

7.2 Please make reference here to any available data/documents that support your answer, or indicate sources where such data/documents can be found:

