1. INTRODUCTION 1.1 What is the name of your organisation?

Institut Royal Belge pour l'amélioration de la betterave - IRBAB-KBIVB asbl

1.2 What stakeholder group does your organisation belong to?

User of S± Company operating on national level; Other

1.2.1 Please specify

Research Institute for Sugar beet crop

1.3 Please write down the address (postal, e-mail, telephone, fax and web page if available) of your organisation

IRBAB - KBIVB asbl Molenstraat 45 3300 Tienen Belgium info@irbab.be www.irbab-kbivb.be Fax +32 16 82 04 68

2. PROBLEM IDENTIFICATION

2.1 Are the problems defined correctly in the context of S&PM marketing? Yes

2.2 Have certain problems been overlooked?

No opinion

2.2.1 Please state which one(s)

2.3 Are certain problems underestimated or overly emphasized? Overestimated

2.3.1 Please indicate the problems that have not been estimated rightly

Sugar beet variety registration is, in Belgium, done by users (Industry and Farmers organization), suprevision by authorities. Linked to recommandation trials for commercial use

2.4 Other suggestions or remarks

3. OBJECTIVES OF THE REVIEW

3.1 Are the objectives defined correctly in the context of S&PM marketing? Yes

3.2 Have certain objectives been overlooked? Yes

3.2.1 Please state which one(s) No Food safety anymore

3.3 Are certain objectives inappropriate?

No opinion

3.3.1 Please state which one(s)

3.4 Is it possible to have a regime whereby a variety is considered as being automatically registered in an EU catalogue as soon as a variety protection title is granted by CPVO? Yes

3.5 If there is a need to prioritise the objectives, which should be the most important ones? (Please rank 1 to 5, 1 being first priority) Ensure availability of healthy high quality seed and propagating material 2

Secure the functioning of the internal market for seed and propagating material

Empower users by informing them about seed and propagating material

Contribute to improve biodiversity, sustainability and favour innovation 1

Promote plant health and support agriculture, horticulture and forestry 3

3.6 Other suggestions and remarks

4. OPTIONS FOR CHANGE

4.1 Are the scenarios defined correctly in the context of S&PM marketing? Yes

4.2 Have certain scenarios been overlooked? No opinion

4.2.1 Please state which one(s)

4.3 Are certain scenarios unrealistic? Yes

4.3.1 Please state which one(s) and why scenario 3 only possible for international companies

4.4 Do you agree with the reasoning leading to the discard of the "no-changes" and the "abolishment" scenarios? Yes

4.5 Other suggestions and remarks

5. ASSESSMENT OF OPTIONS

5.1 Are the impacts correctly analysed in the context of S&PM marketing? No

5.2 Have certain impacts been overlooked? No opinion

5.2.1 Please state which one(s)

5.3 Are certain impacts underestimated or overly emphasized? Underestimated

5.3.1 Please provide evidence or data to support your assessment: Difficulties for small breeders and local breeders to survive

5.4 How do you rate the proportionality of a generalised traceability/labelling and fit-forpurpose requirement (as set out in scenario 4)? 5 = not proportional at all

5.5 How do you assess the possible impact of the various scenarios on your organisation or on the stakeholders that your organisation represents? Scenario 1 Not relevant

Scenario 2 Very beneficial

Scenario 3 Very negative

Scenario 4 Neutral

Scenario 5 Very negative

5.5.1 Please state your reasons for your answers above, where possible providing evidence or data to support your assessment:

Dangerousity to let breeders publish their own results without neutral supervision

6. ASSESSMENT OF SCENARIOS

6.1 Which scenario or combination of scenarios would best meet the objectives of the review of the legislation?

Scenario 2

6.1.1 What are your views with regards to combining elements from the various scenarios into a new scenario?

6.1.1 Please explain the new scenario in terms of key features

6.2 Do you agree with the comparison of the scenarios in the light of the potential to achieve the objectives? No opinion

6.2.1 Please explain:

7. OTHER COMMENTS

7.1 Further written comments on the seeds and propagating material review:

7.2 Please make reference here to any available data/documents that support your answer, or indicate sources where such data/documents can be found:

sppm p.4