EUROPEAN COMMISSION

Health and Food Safety Directorate General

sante.ddg2.g.5(2019)3223364

Standing Committee on Plants, Animals, Food and Feed

Section *Phytopharmaceuticals – Legislation*

(subsection of Seeds and Propagating Material for Agriculture and Horticulture)

15 April 2019

CIRCABC Link: *https://circabc.europa.eu/w/browse/95ff8c68-11f4-44e9-a422-cc920844a247*

SUMMARY REPORT

B.01 Exchange of views and possible opinion of the Committee on a draft Commission Implementing Regulation amending Implementing Regulation (EU) No 686/2012 as regards the nomination of rapporteur Member States and co-rapporteur Member States for the active substances glyphosate, lambda-cyhalothrin, imazamox and pendimethalin and amending Implementing Regulation (EU) No 844/2012 as regards the possibility that a group of Member States assumes jointly the role of the rapporteur Member State.

Vote taken: Favourable opinion.

B.02 Exchange of views and possible opinion of the Committee on a draft Commission Implementing Regulation as regards the extension of the approval periods of the active substances alpha-cypermethrin, beflubutamid, benalaxyl, benthiavalicarb, bifenazate, boscalid, bromoxynil, captan, cyazofamid, desmedipham, dimethoate, dimethomorph, diuron, ethephon, etoxazole, famoxadone, fenamiphos, flumioxazine, fluoxastrobin, folpet, foramsulfuron, formetanate, metalaxyl-m, methiocarb, metribuzin, milbemectin, *Paecilomyces lilacinus* strain 251, phenmedipham, phosmet, pirimiphos-methyl, propamocarb, prothioconazole, s-metolachlor and tebuconazole, amending the Annex to Implementing Regulation (EU) No 540/2011.

One Member State asked for clarification if the text presented for opinion is exactly the same as the one presented on 22 March 2019. The Commission reminded that insoxaflutole, carvone, and chlorpropham are no longer included in the draft as corresponding regulatory decisions for these substances had been taken meanwhile. This Member State still disagreed with the extension of few substances on the draft.

Another Member State which disagreed with the extension of few substances on the draft would be however in favour of the draft.

The Commission clarified that these extensions are an obligation according to Article 17 of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009, which applies when the approval is likely to expire for reasons beyond the control of the applicant.

The Netherlands made the following protocol declaration:

We cannot agree with the procedural extension of the approval period of tebuconazole – because of the risks regarding fungal resistance.

Nevertheless, because we are faced with one package of 34 substances, we will vote in favour of the whole package.

Vote taken: Favourable opinion.